

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/673,846 | 09/29/2003 | Mark Bernard Hettish | 2003P08062US | 3718 |
| Siemens Corpo | 7590 04/22/200 oration | EXAMINER | | |
| Attn: Elsa Keller, Legal Administrator Intellectual Property Department 170 Wood Avenue South Iselin, NJ 08830 | | | PADMANABHAN, KAVITA | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2161 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/22/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|--------------------|--------------|-----------|--|
| 10/673,846 | HETTISH, MAR | K BERNARD | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Kavita Dadmanahhan | 2161 | | |

The amendment document filed on <u>21 January 2009</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.

| item(s) is required. | ocument to be compliant, correction of the following |
|---|---|
| THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDME 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other | NT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT: |
| 2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72 B. Other | |
| □ 3. Amendments to the drawings: □ A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top ¬Annotated Sheet as required by 37 CFR 1.121(□ B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing corr showing amended figures, without markings, in c | d). ection has been eliminated. Replacement drawings |
| | Il pending claims (including withdrawn claims) r status identifier, and as such, the individual status tus of every claim must be indicated after its claim fiflers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), thdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended). en presented in ascending numerical order. |
| 5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in | accordance with 37 GFR 1.4). |
| For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CF | R 1.121, see MPEP § 714. |
| TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE: | |
| Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant am filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-co- entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted. | |
| Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, whichever is correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the follow (including a submission for a request for continued examination amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.1 Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the c non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. | ing: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment i (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental 03(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a |
| Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) or amendment or an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> | |
| Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant am filed in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amend amendment. | |
| /Kavita Padmanabhan/ Examiner. Art Unit 2161 | |

⁻⁻ The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Continuation of 4(e) Other: With respect to claims 1, 15, and 16, the text of deleted matter has not been shown using strike-through. It appears that the markings do not indiciate the changes made to the claims relative to the immediate prior version of the claims.